**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**

**APPELLATE SIDE**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 652 of 2006.**

Subhash Mukund Kamble. ... Applicant.
Versus.
State of Maharashtrta. ... Respondent.

Shri S.A.Ingawale for the Applicant.
Ms.M.M.Deshmukh, A.P.P. for the Respondent-State.

**CORAM : ABHAY S.OKA, J.**
**DATED : 17th April, 2006.**

**P.C.:**

1. Heard the learned Advocates appearing for the parties. the learned Advocate for the Applicant pointed out that the Applicant is in custody from 6th April 2005 and the trial has not yet commenced. He submitted that in view of the long passage of time the Applicant is entitled to bail.

2. I have considered the submissions. In my view no case is made out of change in circumstance for entertaining the second bail application. Considering the fact that the Applicant is in custody from April 2005 and considering his relative young age, the trial deserves to be expedited.

3. The Application is rejected. However, the learned trial Judge will conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of this order.

**Judge.**